VASCOCERATID AMMONITES FROM THE TYPE TURONIAN

by W. J. KENNEDY and C. W. WRIGHT

ABSTRACT. Vascoceratid ammonites are not uncommon in the earliest mid Turonian fauna of the stratotype in Touraine, France, in marked contrast to their general scarcity elsewhere in the north-west European Cretaceous. Present are numerous Neoptychites cephalotus (Courtiller) (of which N. telinga (Stoliczka), N. xetra (Stoliczka), N. telingaeformis (Solger), N. crassus (Solger), N. perovalis Von Koenen, and N. gourguechoni Pervinquière are considered synonyms), rare N. xetriformis Pervinquière, Fagesia rudra (Stoliczka), and Vascoceras sp. juv. These occurrences are discussed in terms of the north-south correlation of Boreal collignoniceratid and Tethyan vascoceratid faunas, especially those of the Iberian Peninsula, where they characterize Zones VI-VII of Wiedmann's (1959, 1964) standard sequence.

Ammonites belonging to the subfamily Vascoceratinae Spath, 1925 are generally scarce in the Boreal Realm, although they dominate early Turonian faunas in Tethyan areas such as the Middle East, north Africa, southern France, Spain and Portugal, the Sahara, Nigeria, and the Cameroons. Because of this dominance and the rarity of the typically Boreal Collignoniceratidae and Mammitinae, parallel zonal schemes have developed in the two regions, with only limited north-south correlations. Indeed, as Berthou (1973) and Berthou and Lauverjat (1974a, b) have now demonstrated, the earliest Turonian of Tethyan areas as defined by vascoceratids is of Cenomanian age in terms of the type areas (Juignet 1977; Kennedy and Hancock 1977).

In Touraine, the type area of the Turonian stage (see Hancock et al. 1977 for the most recent review of this area), vascoceratids occur not uncommonly in the fauna of the St. Cyr-en-Bourg Fossil Bed and its correlatives (Hancock et al. 1977, p. 155), and the purpose of this contribution is to provide the first detailed account of these elements, as part of an over-all revision of the stratigraphy and ammonite fauna of the stratotype and as a contribution to the resolution of north-south correlations during the mid-Cretaceous.

SYSTEMATIC DESCRIPTIONS

Repositories of material: these are indicated as follows: OUM—University Museum, Oxford; MNHP—Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris; SP—Sorbonne Collection, now housed in the Université Paris VI; FSR—Faculté des Sciences, Rennes; AM—Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle, Angers; CS—Château de Saumur; LE—Lecointre Collection, Château de Grand Pressigny;

Suture terminology. The suture terminology of Wedekind (1916; see Kullman and Wiedmann 1970 for a recent review) is followed here: I—Internal lobe, U—Umbilical lobe, L—Lateral lobe, E—External lobe.

[Palaeontology, Vol. 22, Part 3, 1979, pp. 665-683, pls. 82-86.]

Dimensions. All dimensions are given in millimetres, figures in parentheses being the percentage of the total diameter. D—diameter, Wb—whorl breadth, Wh—whorl height, U—umbilicus.

Suborder Ammonitina Hyatt, 1900 Superfamily Acanthocerataceae Hyatt, 1900 Family Acanthoceratidae Hyatt, 1900 Subfamily Vascoceratinae Spath, 1925 Genus Fagesia Spath, 1925

Type species. Olcostephanus superstes Kossmat, 1897 by original designation.

Discussion. Only a single representative of this genus is known from Touraine; for a full discussion see Wright and Kennedy (in preparation).

Occurrence. Fagesia is restricted to the early and early mid-Turonian. The geographic distribution includes England, France, Spain and Portugal, North Africa, the Middle East, Nigeria, Cameroons, Madagascar, southern India, Japan, California, Texas, Mexico, and the Western Interior of the United States.

Fagesia rudra (Stoliczka)

Plate 82, figs. 1-2

- 1865 Ammonites rudra Stoliczka, p. 122, pl. 60, fig. 1.
- 1875 Stoliczkaia rudra (Stoliczka); Neumayr, p. 933.
- 1925 Fagesia rudra (Stoliczka); Diener, p. 182.
- 1973 Fagesia sp. cf. rudra (Stoliczka); Matsumoto, p. 34 (with synonymy).

Types. Stoliczka based this species on several specimens; that which he figured as his pl. 60, fig. 1-1b is herein designated lectotype of the species.

Material. This universally rare species is represented by one specimen only: AM 19, from the St. Cyr-en-Bourg Fossil Bed of St. Cyr-en-Bourg (Maine-et-Loire) (Couffon Collection).

Dimensions

	D	Wb	Wh	Wb:Wh	U
AM 19	123(100)	100(81)	58(47)	1.72	23(19)
Lectotype (after Stoliczka 1865, p. 122)	170(100)	140(82)	66(39)	2.12	39(23)

Description. The specimen is a wholly septate, rather worn mould in typical tuffeau preservation. Coiling is very involute, with a deep umbilicus comprising 19% of the diameter. The umbilical wall is flat, very high, with an abruptly rounded umbilical shoulder. The whorl section is depressed, semicircular, with a whorl breadth to height ratio of 1.72.

EXPLANATION OF PLATE 82

Figs. 1-2. Fagesia rudra (Stoliczka). AM 19, from the St. Cyr-en-Bourg Fossil Bed of St. Cyr-en-Bourg (Couffon Collection). Reduced ×0.7.

Figs. 3-5. Neoptychites cephalotus (Courtiller). Paralectotype CS 2, from the environs of Saumur (Courtiller Collection). Reduced × 0·7.



KENNEDY and WRIGHT, Vascoceratid ammonites


The inner flanks are smooth, but low, broad prorsiradiate ribs are visible on the outer flank, and sweep forwards across the shoulders to form a broad convexity over the venter, where they are at their maximum development. This ornament weakens progressively from 100 mm diameter onwards.

The sutures are imperfectly preserved: E is deep with a large, moderately divided median element; E/L is deeply incised and asymmetrically trifid; L deep with a narrow opening and U₂ relatively large, deeply incised, and also asymmetrically trifid.

Discussion. The small size explains the different proportions from the lectotype. Both show a similar cross-section, style, and progressive decline of ornament; whilst the sutures, so far as they are visible in our specimen, are closely similar.

Fagesia superstes (Kossmat) (1897, p. 26, pl. 6, fig. 1) is easily distinguished from the present form through the presence of much stronger ribs, which arise from umbilical bullae, whilst the crater-like umbilicus has a very different, outwards-sloping wall. Pervinquière's (1907) F. superstes tunisiensis and spheroidalis differ from the nominate form in detail, but are also easily distinguished from F. rudra.

F. thevestensis (Peron) (see Pervinquière 1907, p. 325, pl. 20, figs. 5a-b, 6a-b; Matsumoto 1973, p. 32, pl. 8, fig. 2a-c, text-fig. 2a-c) is only slightly depressed at a size comparable to our specimen, with a larger, shallower umbilicus, and strong ribs arising in groups from bullae when young, the bullae surviving when the ribs decline at maturity (Pervinquière 1907, pl. 20, fig. 6a).



TEXT-FIG. 1A, B. The holotype of *Ammonites boucheroni* Coquand, MNHP 1904-32. A crushed *Fagesia* from the Turonian of Charente.

F. boucheroni Coquand (1859, p. 967) is based upon a description without figures and in consequence subsequent authors have tended to ignore the species. The holotype, from the Turonian of Charente is preserved in the Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris (no. 1904-32), and is figured here as text-fig. 1a-b. Although poorly preserved it is a close ally of, if not conspecific with, F. thevestensis, although because of poor preservation it may best be treated as a nomen dubium.

F. peroni Pervinquière (1907, p. 329, pl. 20, figs. 7a-b, 8a-b), is more evolute, again with bullae, whilst losing all ornament at a very small size. F. simplex Barber (1957, p. 57, pl. 8, fig. 1a-b; pl. 29, figs. 4-5) and F. involuta Barber (1957, p. 27, pl. 9, fig. 3a-b; pl. 29, figs. 6-7) from Nigeria both have bullae and differ in other obvious respects, as do F. catinus (Mantell) (1822, p. 198, pl. 22, fig. 10) and F. pachydiscoides Spath (1925, p. 198; = Sharpe 1855, p. 29, pl. 13, fig. 1a-b). F. bomba (Eck) (1909, p. 179, pl. 17, figs. 1, 2) has a similar gross shell form to F. rudra but possesses umbilical bullae.

Occurrence. This is a very rare species. Three specimens are known from southern India, one from Madagascar, one described as F. sp. cf. rudra from Japan (Hokkaido), the Touraine example noted here, and a record from northern Spain (Wiedmann 1964, p. 114). Where well dated, the species appears to be limited to the late early to early mid-Turonian.

Genus VASCOCERAS Choffat, 1898

Type species. Vascoceras gamai Choffat, 1898 by original designation.

Vascoceras sp. juv. Plate 84, figs. 4-6

Material. One specimen only, AM 79, from Saumur, Maine-et-Loire.

Discussion. This juvenile Vascoceras is specifically indeterminate, but of great interest as the only known representative from Touraine of this typically Tethyan, late Cenomanian and early Turonian genus. It most closely resembles the juvenile Vascoceras from Pindiga, Nigeria, figured by Barber (1957, pl. 6, figs. 2a-b, 7a-b).

Genus Neoptychites Kossmat, 1895 [= Pseudoneoptychites Leanza, 1967]

Type species. Ammonites telinga Stoliczka, 1865 (= A. cephalotus Courtiller, 1860), by original designation.

Diagnosis. Medium sized, very involute, high-whorled when adult, with a tiny crater-like umbilicus and narrowly rounded or narrow, flattened venter. Earliest whorls compressed or depressed, smooth, save for sparse constrictions and associated collar-like ribs, followed by a stage with numerous low, broad ribs. Middle to late stages typically smooth, with a constricted aperture. The body chamber may remain compressed and flat-sided throughout or may show a brief phase of marked inflation of the inner flank prior to the apertural constriction. Sutures very variable, from broad, low, little incised elements in some to deep, narrow, intricately incised bifid to trifid lobes in others.

Discussion. Over-all shell form, ontogenetic changes, lack of tubercles and the characteristic constricted aperture readily distinguish Neoptychites from all other vascoceratid genera. Species and varieties of Neoptychites have been distinguished on

differences of suture and general proportions, both features in which we find significant variation in the material of the type species and others before us. Given this range, we believe that *Betiokyites* Collignon, 1965 type species *Hemitissotia* (*Betiokyites*) besairei Collignon (1965, p. 56, pl. 400, fig. 1683; pl. 401, fig. 1684) should be regarded as a subgenus of Neoptychites. It differs from the typical form in having a flattened, subtabulate venter and suture with broad, rounded, only feebly denticulate saddles (hence Collignon's referral to *Hemitissotia*), but the over-all shell characters are those of Neoptychites, especially the aperture. We would note that even some N. cephalotus have relatively simple sutures (e.g. Pervinquière 1907, text-fig. 152). In addition to the type species, Vascoceras pioti (Peron and Fourtau) (Freund and Raab 1969, p. 28, pl. 4, figs. 1-9, text-fig. 6d-g), N. hottingeri Collignon (1966, p. 44, pl. 25, fig. 1-1b), and N. transatlanticus Leanza (1967, p. 201, pl. 1, figs. 7-8; pl. 3, figs. 7-8; pl. 4, figs. 5-6) may also prove to be Betiokyites.

Pseudoneoptychites Leanza, 1967 (type species P. andinus Leanza, 1967, p. 202, pl. 5, figs. 3-4, text-fig. 1) is based upon juvenile Neoptychites sensu stricto, of which it is a subjective synonym.

Occurrence. Neoptychites first appears relatively early in the Turonian, and has its acme in the late early and early mid-Turonian. Collignon (1965) has recorded a somewhat doubtful representative of the genus (N. subxetriformis masiaposenis Collignon) from the late Turonian Zone of Coilopoceras requienianum and Romaniceras deveriai of Madagascar. The geographic range extends southwards across France from Touraine to Aquitaine and Provence, northern Spain, Morocco, Algeria, Tunisia, Syria, Israel, Cameroon, Nigeria, Madagascar, southern India, the Western Interior of the United States, Texas, Mexico, Brazil, Columbia, and Venezuela.


Neoptychites cephalotus (Courtiller)

Plate 82, figs. 3-5; Plate 83, figs. 1-3; Plate 84, fig. 3; Plate 85, figs. 1-5; Plate 86, figs. 5-6; text-fig. 2

- 1860 Ammonites cephalotus Courtiller, p. 248, pl. 2, figs. 1-4.
- 1865 Ammonites xetra Stoliczka, p. 124, pl. 61, figs. 1-2.
- 1865 Ammonites telinga Stoliczka, p. 125, pl. 62, figs. 1-2.
- 1867 Ammonites cephalotus Courtiller, p. 3, pl. 1, figs. 1-3; pl. 2, figs. 1-2.
- 1889 Pachydiscus africanus Peron, p. 28, pl. 17, figs. 9-10.
- 1895 Neoptychites xetra (Stoliczka); Kossmat, p. 72.
- 1895 Neoptychites telinga (Stoliczka); Kossmat, p. 71, pl. 7, fig. 1.
- 1896 Neoptychites cephalotus (Courtiller); de Grossouvre, p. 86.
- 1897 Pulchellia perovalis von Koenen, p. 10, pl. 1, fig. 3; pl. 2, fig. 6. 1903a Neoptychites cephalotus (Courtiller); Pervinquière, Fiche 5, 5a, 5b, 5c.
- 1904 Neoptychites telingaeformis Solger and varieties, p. 108, pl. 3, figs. 2-3, text-figs. 9-17.
- 1904 Neoptychites perovalis (von Koenen); Solger, p. 122.
- 1904 Neoptychites crassus Solger and varieties, p. 119, pl. 3, fig. 5, text-figs. 18-19.
- 1907 Neoptychites cephalotus (Courtiller); Pervinquière, p. 393, pl. 27, figs. 1-4, text-fig. 152.
- 1907 Neoptychites gourguechoni Pervinquière, p. 400, pl. 27, figs. 8, 9, text-figs. 155, 156.


EXPLANATION OF PLATE 83

Figs. 1-3. Neoptychites cephalotus (Courtiller). Lectotype, CS 631, from the environs of Saumur (Courtiller Collection). Reduced × 0·8.



KENNEDY and WRIGHT, Vascoceratid ammonites

- 1912 Neoptychites cephalotus (Courtiller); Roman, p. 13, pl. 1, fig. 2.
- 1930 Neoptychites cephalotus (Courtiller); Besairie, p. 217, pl. 19, fig. 2.
- 1931 Neoptychites cephalotus (Courtiller); Basse, p. 34, pl. 4, fig. 9; pl. 11, fig. 5.1932 Neoptychites sp., Riedel, p. 123, pl. 36, fig. 6.
- 1932 Neoptychites perovalis (von Koenen); Riedel, p. 123, pl. 26, fig. 7.
- 1932 Neoptychites telingaeformis Solgar var. discrepans Solger; Riedel, p. 123, pl. 26, fig. 5.
- 1934 Neoptychites cephalotus (Courtiller); Faraud, p. 8.
- 1935 Neoptychites cephalotus (Courtiller); Karrenberg, p. 143, fig. 4.
- 1940 Neoptychites cephalotus (Courtiller); Basse, p. 456, pl. 5, fig. 4.
- 1955 Neoptychites perovalis (von Koenen); Reyment, p. 66, pl. 10, fig. 4, text-fig. 29.
- ?1955 Neoptychites telingaeformis Solger; Reyment, p. 66 (pars?), ?pl. 15, fig. 1, text-fig. 30 (non pl. 11, fig. 4 = Hoplitoides gibbulosus (von Koenen)).
- 1955 Neoptychites crassus Solger; Reyment, p. 67.



TEXT-FIG. 2, A-G, Neoptychites cephalotus (Courtiller). Sutures of: A: the missing paralectotype (after Pervinquière 1903a, fig. T5; B: typical Tunisian example (after Pervinquière 1907, fig. 152); C: N. gourguechoni (after Pervinquière 1907, fig. 156); D: N. telingaeformis (after Solger 1904, fig. 11b); E: N. telingaeformis palmata (after Solger 1904, fig. 16); F: N. telingaeformis elegans (after Solger 1904, fig. 15); G: N. crassus (after Solger 1904, fig. 15); G: N. crassus (after Solger 1904, fig. 18). Bar scale is 2 cms.

EXPLANATION OF PLATE 84

Figs. 1-2. Neoptychites xetriformis (Pervinquière). AM 52, from the St. Cyr-en-Bourg Fossil Bed, Saumoussay (Couffon Collection).

Fig. 3. N. cephalotus (Courtiller). Paralectotype CS 8, from the environs of Saumur, showing strongly ribbed inner whorls and inflation of the body chamber (Courtiller Collection). Reduced ×0·8.
Figs. 4-6. Vascoceras sp. juv. AM 79, from Saumur.



KENNEDY and WRIGHT, Vascoceratid ammonites

- 1965
- Neoptychites cephalotus (Courtiller); Collignon, p. 58, pl. 401, fig. 1685. Neoptychites cephalotus (Courtiller); Collignon, p. 43, pl. 24, fig. 1. Neoptychites cephalotus (Courtiller); Freund and Raab, p. 48. 1966
- 1969
- Neoptychites cf. n. xetra (Stoliczka); Freund and Raab, p. 49, text-fig. 10d. ?1969
- ?1969
- ?1969
- Neoptychites cf. n. xetra (Stonczka); Freund and Raab, p. 49, text-fig. 10a. Neoptychites sp. 1. Freund and Raab, p. 49, text-fig. 10e-f. Neoptychites sp. 2. Freund and Raab, p. 50, text-fig. 10g.

 Neoptychites cf. N. cephalotus (Courtiller); Cobban and Scott, p. 90, pl. 30, fig. 9, text-figs. 1972

Types. Courtiller's original description is based on a series of specimens. Pervinquière (1903a) reillustrated the original of Courtiller's (1860) pl. 2, figs. 1-2 (= Courtiller 1867, pl. 1, figs. 1-2) as figs. T₁, T₂. We have relocated this specimen in the Château de Saumur, and designate it, no. CS 631, lectotype of the species, CS 2 (? = Courtiller 1867, pl. 2, fig. 1) is a probable paralectotype, as are CS 8 and CS 9. We have been unable to trace the specimen which Pervinquière reillustrated as his fig. T4 and believed to be the original of Courtiller's (1867) pl. 1, fig. 3, and presume it to be lost.


Other specimens studied. We have examined more than twenty specimens, including the following: OUMKZ 758, from the St. Cyr-en-Bourg Fossil Bed, Champigonnière Les Rochains, 7 km south of Saumur and north-east of Montreuil-Bellay, Maine-et-Loire. AM: four specimens from 'Saumoussay', Maine-et-Loire. MNHP 144, 142a-c; de Grossouvre Collection, Saumur, and other, unregistered Saumur region specimens in the Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle Nantes (all unlocalized), Faculté des Sciences, Rennes, Lecointre Collection, Château de Grand Pressigny and the Sorbonne. We have also studied Pervinquière's (1907) Neoptychites types in the Sorbonne and Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle Collections.

226				
D	Wb	Wh	Wb:Wh	U
181(100)	57(31)	95.5(53)	0.6	11(6)
217(100)	96(44)		0.99	23(10)
111.5(100)	65(57)		- C.	7.8(7)
92(100)	C-1000000000000000000000000000000000000			-(-)
60(100)	31-4(52)	34(57)	0.92	2.5(4)
50(100)	27(54)	27(54)	1.0	4(8)
128(100)	47(38)	63(49)	0.75	17(13)
128(100)	26(21)		0.41	10(8)
176(100)	71(40)	98(56)	0.72	16(9)
176(100)	38(22)	98(56)	0.39	11(6)
44(100)	14(32)	24(39)	0.44	2(4)
100(100)	40(40)	60(60)	0.67	6(6)
	217(100) 111-5(100) 92(100) 60(100) 50(100) 128(100) 128(100) 176(100) 176(100) 44(100)	181(100) 57(31) 217(100) 96(44) 111·5(100) 65(57) 92(100) 22·3(24) 60(100) 31·4(52) 50(100) 27(54) 128(100) 47(38) 128(100) 26(21) 176(100) 71(40) 176(100) 38(22) 44(100) 14(32)	181(100) 57(31) 95·5(53) 217(100) 96(44) 97(45) 111·5(100) 65(57) 62(56) 92(100) 22·3(24) 55(60) 60(100) 31·4(52) 34(57) 50(100) 27(54) 27(54) 128(100) 47(38) 63(49) 128(100) 26(21) 63(49) 176(100) 71(40) 98(56) 176(100) 38(22) 98(56) 44(100) 14(32) 24(39)	181(100) 57(31) 95·5(53) 0·6 217(100) 96(44) 97(45) 0·99 111·5(100) 65(57) 62(56) 1·03 92(100) 22·3(24) 55(60) 0·41 60(100) 31·4(52) 34(57) 0·92 50(100) 27(54) 27(54) 1·0 128(100) 47(38) 63(49) 0·75 128(100) 26(21) 63(49) 0·41 176(100) 71(40) 98(56) 0·72 176(100) 38(22) 98(56) 0·39 44(100) 14(32) 24(39) 0·44

Description. This species passes through three distinctive ontogenetic stages. In the first, which extends up to 20 or 30 mm in our specimens (rather more in specimens figured by Pervinquière (e.g. 1907, pl. 37, fig. 3a-b and less in those figured by Solger, e.g. 1904, pl. 3, fig. 4)), the coiling is very involute, with a tiny, crater-like umbilicus. The whorls vary from compressed to depressed, with the greatest breadth at

EXPLANATION OF PLATE 85

Figs. 1-5. Neoptychites cephalotus (Courtiller). 1-3, AM, from 'Saumoussay', a slender example resembling N. gourguechoni. 4-5 MNHP 142C, a strongly ribbed xetra-like juvenile, from Saumur (de Grossouvre Collection).



KENNEDY and WRIGHT, Vascoceratid ammonites

the rounded umbilical shoulder, the flattened to gently swollen flanks converging to the narrowly rounded venter. Moulds are smooth, except for sparse concave prorsiradiate constrictions (usually 4 per whorl) which are weakened across the venter and both preceded and followed by a parallel bar-like collar and weaker groove, the collar being weak on the umbilical shoulder but strong across the venter, the front being stronger than the rear one (Pl. 86, figs. 4–5).

In the second growth stage, which extends for as little as half a whorl in some specimens (Pl. 86, figs. 4-5) but may continue up to 100 mm diameter in others (Pl. 84), the ribs are long, broad, and prorsiradiate, weak at the umbilical shoulder but strengthening as they pass forwards across the flanks to reach their maximum development over the venter.

In the third stage, from 50-60 mm onwards (Pl. 86, figs. 1-3; Pl. 86, figs. 4-5), all ornament is lost and the whorls become almost triangular with concave outer flanks and a narrowly rounded venter.


This form extends on to the early part of the body chamber in all specimens. In the larger individuals known (e.g. Pl. 83, figs. 1–3; Pl. 84, fig. 3; Stoliczka 1865, pl. 62, fig. 1a-b) it extends to the aperture, which is markedly oblique, constricted, with a blunt, spout-like ventral area and a pinched mid-flank region (Pl. 83, fig. 1). In other specimens, the last part of the body chamber before the constricted aperture inflates markedly at mid-flank (Pl. 85, fig. 3) giving the shell an extraordinary fusiform profile when viewed ventrally. The suture line is deeply incised, with plump, rounded folioles in most specimens from Touraine before us, although other individuals referred to the species show great variation in this respect (text-fig. 2).

Discussion. The many specimens of Neoptychites cephalotus from the environs of Saumur all appear to come from the level of the St. Cyr-en-Bourg Fossil Bed, as confirmed by the recent detailed records of Amedro and Badillet (1978). These show the species, especially the inner whorls, to be very variable. On the basis of this variation and the range of adults before us, we confirm the conventional view (de Grossouvre 1896, Pervinquière 1907, Karrenberg 1935, Reyment 1955, Wright 1957, Collignon 1966, etc.) that the Indian N. telinga is a junior subjective synonym. Pervinquière (1907) suggested that Pachydiscus africanus Peron (1889, p. 28, pl. 17, figs. 9-10) is based on a juvenile of N. cephalotus, and with this we are also in agreement. Ammonites santonensis d'Orbigny (1850, p. 212) is a Prodrome species, the surviving specimen of which is a juvenile N. cephalotus (Pl. 86, figs. 4-5). D'Orbigny's original description is brief: '*18. Santonensis, d'Orb., 1847. Espèce à tours enroulés comme chez l'A. tumidus, munie de côtes simples à tours complétement embrassants. Saintes (Charente-Inférieure), Saint Germain près de La Flèche (Sarthe).' The specimen is no. 7182 in d'Orbigny's collection, and is said to be from Saintes, although in a Tuffeau preservation. It is our view that this description is inadequate to define the species, and under the terms of Opinion 126 of the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature (1936) we regard the name as unavailable under the terms

N. xetra (Stoliczka) (1865, pl. 61, figs. 1a-b, 2-2a), lectotype, herein designated, the original of Stoliczka's pl. 61, fig. 1-1a, from the Utatur Group near Odium, has strongly ribbed inner whorls like those of one of Courtiller's paralectotypes, or the

EXPLANATION OF PLATE 86

<sup>Figs. 1-3. Neoptychites xetriformis (Pervinquière) a specimen in the Lecointre Collection Chateau de Grand Pressigny; 1 and 3 are lit from below to accentuate ornament. Note constricted aperture in 2 and 3.
Figs. 4-5. N. cephalotus (Courtiller). The holotype of Ammonites santonensis d'Orbigny; MNHP 7182 (d'Orbigny Collection), said to be from Saintes, but in a Tuffeau preservation, and probably from the Turonian Calcaire à Céphalopodes north of the town.</sup>



KENNEDY and WRIGHT, Vascoceratid ammonites

juveniles shown here as Pl. 86, figs. 4–5. When adult it is more inflated than the lectotype of *N. cephalotus*, but no more so than the paralectotype S.2, illustrated as Pl. 84, figs. 1–3. It loses its ornament in the same way as *N. cephalotus* (although adult at only 135 mm), and we would regard it as a junior subjective synonym. Pervinquière (1907, p. 399) had already noted this similarity, suggesting that *xetra* was no more than an inflated *cephalotus*. *N. telingaeformis* (Solger) (1904, p. 108, pl. 3, figs. 2–4, text-figs. 9–17) and the varieties *elegans*, *palmata*, and *discrepans* Solger, all distinguished on sutural details, are juveniles within the range of variation seen in Touraine populations of *N. cephalotus*. Solger's figured adult (1904, pl. 3, fig. 2) shows typical features of the present species, having lost all ornament and developed a constricted aperture, although rather small (110 mm) compared with our individuals. The specimen figured by Reyment (1955, p. 66, pl. 11, fig. 4*a*–*b*) has an oval whorl section with markedly rursiradiate ribs, and does not belong here.

N. crassus Solger (1904, p. 119, pl. 3, fig. 5, text-figs. 18-19), including var. asymmetrica Solger, has a depressed whorl section when compared to other Cameroon specimens, but is no more depressed than the paralectotype of N. cephalotus figured

here as Pl. 84, figs. 1-3; we would therefore also regard it as a synonym.

N. gibbosula von Koenen (1897, p. 9, pl. 1, fig. 5), to which Reyment's (1955, pl. 11, fig. 4a-b) N. telingaeformis should be referred is a Hoplitoides. N. perovalis von Koenen (1897, p. 10, pl. 1, fig. 3; pl. 2, fig. 6) is inseparable from N. telingaeformis and is also apparently based on small adult N. cephalotus. N. gourguechoni Pervinquière (1907, p. 400, pl. 27, figs. 8a-b, 9a-b, text-figs. 155-156), based on two or three specimens from Mrhila and Draa el Miaad, Tunisia, was separated from N. cephalotus on the basis of the more intricately and deeply incised lobes and saddles, which resembled those of N. perovalis (von Koenen), of which Reyment (1955, p. 67) regarded it a synonym. It is very similar to N. cephalotus (with which it occurs in North Africa), and there seems little doubt that it too is a synonym; indeed slender Touraine specimens (text-fig. 2C-D) have equally slender and incised sutures.

Although we would regard all of the species discussed above as synonyms of *N. cephalotus*, adult features are developed at quite different sizes in different areas. Touraine examples are adult between 180 and 220 mm, Israeli specimens at 190 to 240 mm, Moroccan specimens at 220 mm, and the Indian *N. telinga* at 270 mm. In contrast, the adult lectotype of *N. xetra* is only 135 mm diameter, the adult *N. telingae-formis* 110 mm diameter, and a Malagassy example figured by Collignon (1965, pl. 401, fig. 1685) is only 100 mm diameter with a complete constricted peristome. This may simply be intraspecific variation or geographic variation, or perhaps even dimorphism: the available data is inadequate to decide which.

N. xetriformis Pervinquière (1907, p. 398, pl. 27, figs. 5a-b, 6a-b, 7a-b) is a distinct species, described fully below. It differs from N. cephalotus in its much smaller adult size, in retaining ribs throughout development, and in having fewer ribs per whorl.

N. subxetriformis Collignon (1965, p. 54, pl. 349, fig. 1682) from the Late Turonian of Madagascar, has a sharp (subcarinate) venter, and is smooth. The suture line is said to be closer to that of *Thomasites* than Neoptychites. Collignon's var. masiaposensis (op. cit., p. 69, pl. 408, fig. 1969) is very depressed with a tiny umbilicus. Both of these are, to us, of uncertain systematic position.

N. hottingeri Collignon (1966, p. 44, pl. 25, figs. 1-1b) from the Turonian of

Tarfaya, Morocco, has a tabulate venter and is quite distinct from *N. cephalotus*, recalling rather the genus *Spathites* Kummel and Decker, 1954 as does *Vascoceras pioti* (Peron and Fourtau) as figured by Freund and Raab (1969, pl. 4, figs. 1–9), both of which we have suggested as possible *Betiokyites*. The four species of *Neoptychites* described by Leanza (1967) are difficult to place with any certainty, being largely based on juveniles. *N. transatlanticus* Leanza (1967, p. 201, pl. 1, figs. 7–8; pl. 3, figs. 7–8; pl. 4, figs. 5–6) has a flattened venter and is better compared with *Betiokyites*, especially the young of *V. pioti* (Peron and Fourtau), mentioned above. *N. andinus* (Leanza) (1967, p. 203, pl. 5, figs. 3–4, text-fig. 1) is based on 40 mm diameter flat-ventered juveniles only, and is specifically indeterminate; until adults are known it is best treated as only a possible *N. (Betiokyites)*. Both *N. sohli* (Leanza) (1967, p. 204, pl. 7, figs. 4–6) and *N. difficilis* (Leanza) (1967, p. 205, pl. 5, figs. 5–6) are based on juveniles and are *nomina dubia*. It should also be noted that *Lewesiceras ubatense* Leanza (e.g. 1967, pl. 1, figs. 5–6) may in part be juvenile *Neoptychites*.

Occurrence. N. cephalotus is known from the early Turonian of France from Touraine south to Provence, northern Spain, Morocco, Algeria, Tunisia, Syria, Israel, Cameroon, Madagascar, southern India, and the Western Interior of the United States.

Neoptychites xetriformis Pervinquière, 1907

Plate 84, figs. 1-2; Plate 5, figs. 1-3

- 1903b Neoptychites cf. N. xetra (Stoliczka), Pervinquière, p. 101.
- 1907 Neoptychites xetriformis Pervinquière, p. 389, pl. 27, figs. 5-7; text-figs. 153-154.
- ?1920 Neoptychites aff. xetriformis Pervinquière; Böse, p. 223, pl. 18, figs. 9, 11; text-fig. 7.
- 1920 Neoptychites aff. N. xetriformis Pervinquière; Taubenhaus, p. 45, pl. 5, fig. 1.
- 1925 Neoptychites xetriformis Pervinquière; Diener, p. 103.
- ?1931 Neoptychites sp. aff. N. gourguechoni Pervinquière; Adkins, p. 57, pl. 2, figs. 18, 20.
- 1931 Neoptychites xetriformis Pervinquière; Basse, p. 35, pl. 12, fig. 1.
- ?1954 Neoptychites cf. N. xetriformis Pervinquière; Kummel and Decker, p. 315, pl. 32, fig. 3; text-figs. 5-6.
- 1963 Neoptychites xetriformis Pervinquière; Powell, p. 1229, pl. 171, figs. 2-4; text-fig. 5b.
- 1969 Neoptychites xetriformis Pervinquière; Freund and Raab, p. 48.
- 1972 Neoptychites xetriformis Pervinquière; Cobban and Scott, p. 89, pl. 30, figs. 2-6; text-fig. 48.

Types. Pervinquière based this species on several juvenile and adult specimens. The original of his pl. 27, figs. 5a-b, from the Lower Turonian of Draa el Miaad, Tunisia, is the holotype.

Material. In addition to the types in the collections of the Sorbonne, we have studied specimens from Texas and northern Mexico described by Adkins (1931), Kummel and Decker (1954), and Powell (1963), that from Colorado noted by Cobban and Scott (1972), and two specimens from Touraine; one in the collections of the Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle, Angers, from the St. Cyr-en-Bourg Fossil Bed, Saumoussay (Maine-et-Loire), the other in the G. Lecointre Collection, housed in the Château de Grand Pressigny.

mensions.	D	Wb	Wh	Wb: Wh	U
AM 52	98.0(100)	32(33)	-(-)		-(-)
at	85.0(100)	40.0(47)	47.5(56)	-	(9)
Holotype	112.0(100)	640(57)	690(61)	0.04	10.0(0)

Description. Small (adult at 100-110 mm), very involute, with a small, deep umbilicus, the wall rounded and undercut on moulds. Whorl section a compressed oval, with swollen inner flanks, flattened convergent outer flanks and a broadly rounded venter on the phragmocone. Body chambers show a strong inflation

of the inner flank on the early part, but towards the aperture scaphitoid coiling is accompanied by a sharp contraction of whorl height and width to a more compressed section and constricted opening to the shell. Ornament consists of low, broad prorsiradiate straight ribs, which persist to maturity.

Suture lines poorly visible in French specimens, but resembling those of the holotype, with a plump E/L, large, deep, bifid L/U₂, U₂ only slightly smaller than E and a broad little incised lobe adjacent to U₃.

Discussion. N. xetriformis is a distinctive species, differing from N. cephalotus in its many forms in the small adult size, and retention of broad low ribs to maturity. This species generally accompanies the larger and, when adult, smooth N. cephalotus; it is tempting to regard it as the microconch of that species. Its relative scarcity in the Touraine fauna (two specimens, as opposed to several dozen N. cephalotus) indicates this to be unlikely, although positive proof is lacking.

Occurrence. N. xetriformis is restricted to the early Turonian, with records from Touraine, France, northern Spain, Tunisia, Morocco, Cameroon, Israel, Madagascar, northern Mexico, Texas, and Colorado.

DISCUSSION

Revision of the ammonite faunas of the type Turonian reveals four species of Vascoceratidae: *Vascoceras* sp. juv. (rare—1 specimen only), *Fagesia rudra* (Stoliczka) (rare—1 specimen only seen), *N. xetriformis* Pervinquière (rare—2 specimens only seen) and *N. cephalotus* (Courtiller)—common (6.6% of the ammonite fauna of the St. Cyr-en-Bourg Fossil Bed according to Amedro and Badillet (1978)).

Our own observations indicate these species to be confined to a horizon low in the Tuffeau, called the St. Cyr-en-Bourg Fossil Bed by Hancock et al. (1977), where they occur associated with Spathites (Jeanrogericeras) reveliereanum (Courtiller), Kamerunoceras turoniense (d'Orbigny), Lewesiceras peramplum (Mantell), Collignoniceras woollgari (Mantell), C. carolinum (d'Orbigny), C. papale (d'Orbigny), 'C' (gen. nov.) fleuriausianum (d'Orbigny) (= 'Mammites' vielbanci (d'Orbigny) of authors), and Romaniceras kallesi Zázvorka (= Romaniceras hispanicum Wiedmann).

In Spain, these vascoceratids are recorded from Wiedmann's (1959, 1964) Zones VI-VII, high in his Lower Turonian, together with a number of other noncollignoniceratid elements of the St. Cyr-en-Bourg Fossil Bed, although forms such as S. (Jeanrogericeras) reveliereanum and Kamerunoceras turoniense range as low as his Zone III. In Israel they range through Zones 5-7 of Freund and Raab (1969) at the top of these authors' Lower Turonian, and in Nigeria (Reyment 1955; Barber 1959) Neoptychites also occupies a relatively elevated position. In North America, N. cephalotus and N. xetriformis are recorded from a much lower horizon, the lowest Watinoceras coloradoense Zone of the Turonian, below that of Mammites nodosoides (Schlüter). In northern Mexico (Powell 1963) and west Texas (Adkins 1931), N. xetriformis is recorded from the Spathites puercoensis Zone associated with early C. woollgari (= Selwynoceras mexicanum auctorum). All these records save that from the Western Interior of the United States are consistent, and confirm that the fauna of the St. Cyr-en-Bourg Fossil Bed represents a horizon some way up in the Turonian, as is known from its position well above beds with rare Mammites of the nodosoides (Schlüter) group.

That so many zones are recognized below this level in Tethyan vascoceratid

dominated sequences accords with the emerging view (summarized by Kennedy and Hancock 1977) that the lowest 'Turonian' vascoceratid zones are equivalent in part or whole to the Boreal Upper Cenomanian.

There remains, however, the apparently anomalous appearance of *Neoptychites* in the Western Interior of the United States. The best dated records here are in the Hinkle Ranch Section, Colorado, described by Cobban and Scott (1972), where N. cf. cephalotus occurs in the same bed as W. coloradoense (Henderson) and Vascoceras (Greenhornoceras) birchybyi Cobban and Scott. The inner whorls of Neoptychites closely resemble those of Paravascoceras Furon, 1935 (see Schöbel 1975 for illustrations) from which it presumably evolved through an increase in size and degree of involution. Paravascoceras first appears in the very high Cenomanian or low Turonian in Israel and north Africa, but in the U.S. first appears in the Pseudaspidoceras flexuosum Zone of the southern Quitman Mountains in Calvert Canyon, west Texas (OUM Collections), where it co-exists with a Neoptychites sp. juv. which are approximately contemporaneous with the Colorado occurrences of Neoptychites. Either the genus appears much earlier in the New World than the Old, or again, we face the problem of the position of the Cenomanian/Turonian boundary in Boreal as opposed to Tethyan terms.

Acknowledgements. We thank Drs. J. M. Hancock and M. R. Cooper for their assistance in both field and laboratory, and are grateful to Dr. J. Sornay, Dr. M. K. Howarth, Mr. D. Phillips, Dr. C. Duerden, and Dr. W. A. Cobban for allowing us to study specimens in their care. The financial support of NERC and of Wolfson College, Oxford, is gratefully acknowledged, as is the assistance of the staff of the Geological Collections, University Museum, Oxford.

REFERENCES

- ADKINS, W. S. 1931. Some Upper Cretaceous Ammonites in western Texas. Bull. Univ. Tex. econ. Geol. Tech. 3101, 35-72, pls. 2-5.
- AMEDRO, F. and BADILLET, G. 1978. Répartition des ammonites dans quelques coupes du Turonien des environs de Saumur (Maine-et-Loire). C.R. hebd. Séanc. Acad. Sci. Paris, 286D, 323-325.
- BARBER, W. M. 1957. Lower Turonian ammonites from north-eastern Nigeria. Bull. geol. Surv. Nigeria, 26, 67 pp., 34 pls.
- BASSE, E. 1931. Monographie paléontologique du Crétacé de la Province de Maintirano, Madagascar. Mém. géol. Serv. Min. Madagascar, 86 pp., 13 pls.
- —— 1940. Les céphalopodes crétacés des massifs côtiers syriens. Pt. 2. Haut Commiss. Répub. Franç. Syrie-Liban, 2, 165-230, pls. 8-11.
- BERTHOU, P. Y. 1973. Le Cénomanien de l'Estrémadure Portugaise. Mems. Servs. geol. Portugal, N.S. 23, 169 pp., 67 pls. + map.
- and LAUVERJAT, J. 1974a. La limite Cénomanien-Turonien dans la séries à Vascoceratidés de l'embochure du Rio Mondego (Beira littoral, Portugal). C.r. hébd. Séanc. Acad. Sci., Paris, D278, 1463-1466.
- 1974b. La limite Cénomanien-Turonien. Essai de corrélation entre la série Portugaise à Vascoceras et les séries de l'Europe du sud-ouest et de l'Afrique du Nord. Ibid. 278, 2605-2608.

- C.r. hébd. Séanc. Acad. Sci., Paris, D282, 2143-2146.

 BESAIRIE, H. 1930. Recherches géologiques à Madagascar. Première suit. La géologie du Nord-Ouest.
- Mém. Ac. Malagache, 21, 9-259, pls. 1-24.

 BÖSE, E. 1920. On a new ammonite fauna of the Lower Turonian of Mexico. Bull. Univ. Tex. econ. Geol. Tech. 1856, 173-252, pls. 12-20.

CHOFFAT, P. 1898. Les Ammonées du Bellasien, des couches à Neolobites vibrayeanus, du Turonien et du Sénonien. Part 2 of: Recueil d'études paléontologiques sur la faune crétacique du Portugal. Trav. geol. Portugal, 41-86, pls. 3-22.

COBBAN, W. A. and SCOTT, G. R. 1972. Stratigraphy and ammonite fauna of the Graneros Shale and Greenhorn Limestone near Pueblo, Colorado. Prof. Pap. U.S. geol. Surv. 645, 108 pp., 39 pls.

collignon, M. 1965. Atlas des fossiles caractéristiques de Madagascar (Ammonites)—XII, Turonien, iv+82 pp., pls. 376-413. Service Geologique, Tananarive.

— 1966. Les céphalopodes crétacés du bassin côtier de Tarfaya. Notes Mém. Serv. Mines géol. Maroc, 175, 148 pp., 35 pls.

COQUAND, H. 1859. Synopsis des animaux et des végétaux fossiles observés dans la Formation crétacé du sud-ouest de la France. *Bull. Soc. géol. Fr.* (2), **16**, 945-1023.

COURTILLER, M. A. 1860. Description de trois nouvelles espèces d'ammonites du terrain crétacé des environs du Saumur. Mém. Soc. imp. Agr. Sci. Arts, Angers, 3, 246-252, 3 pls.

1867. Les ammonites du Tuffeau. Ann. Soc. Lin. de Maine-et-Loire, Angers, 9, 8 pp., 8 pls.

DIENER, C. 1925. Fossilium catalogus, 1, Animalia; 29, Ammonoidea neocretacea, 244 pp. Junk, Berlin. ECK, O. 1909. Bemerkungen über drei neue Ammoniten aus der oberen egyptischen Kreide. S. B. Ges. Naturf, Fr. Berl. 3, 179-191.

FARAUD, M. 1934. Le Ligérien de la colline de Bernon, près de Tresques (Gard). Bull. Soc. Sci. Nat. Vaucluse, 1, 11 pp.

FREUND, R. and RAAB, M. 1969. Lower Turonian ammonites from Israel. Spec. Pap. Palaeont. 4, 83 pp., 10 pls.

GROSSOUVRE, A. DE 1896. Sur le genre Neoptychites. Bull. Soc. géol. Fr. (3) 24, 86.

HANCOCK, J. M., KENNEDY, W. J. and WRIGHT, C. W. 1977. Towards a correlation of the Turonian sequences of Japan with those of north-west Europe. Spec. Papers. Palaeont. Soc. Japan, 21, 151–168.

JUIGNET, P. 1977. Ammonite faunas from the Cenomanian around Le Mans (Sarthe, France). Ibid. 143-150.

KARRENBERG, H. 1935. Ammoniten fauna aus der nordspanischen Oberkreide. Palaeontographica, 82-A, 125-161, pls. 30-33.

KENNEDY, W. J. and HANCOCK, J. M. 1977. Towards a correlation of the Cenomanian sequences of Japan with those of north-west Europe. Spec. Papers. Palaeont. Soc. Japan, 21, 127-141.

KOENEN, A. VON. 1897-1898. Ueber Fossilen der unteren Kreide am Ufer des Mungo in Kamerun. Abh. könig. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen, N.R. 1, 1-48, 4 pls.

KOSSMAT, F. 1895–1898. Untersuchungen über die südindische Kreideformation. *Beitr. Paläont. Geol. Öst. Ung.* **9** (1895): 97–203 (1–107), pls. 15–25 (1–11); **11** (1897): 1–46 (108–153), pls. 1–8 (12–19); **12** (1898): 89–152 (154–217), pls. 14–19 (20–25).

KULLMAN, J. and WIEDMANN, J. 1970. Significance of sutures in phylogeny of Ammonoidea. Paleont. Contr. Univ. Kansas. 47, 1–32.

KUMMEL, B. and DECKER, J. M. 1954. Lower Turonian ammonites from Texas and Mexico. J. Paleont. 28, 310-319, pls. 30-33.

LEANZA, A. F. 1967. Algunos ammonites nuevos o poco conocidos del Turoniano de Colombia y Venezuela. Acta Geol. Lilloana, 9, 189-213, 7 pls.

MANTELL, G. A. 1822. The fossils of the South Downs, or illustrations of the geology of Sussex, 320 pp., 42 pls. London.

MATSUMOTO, T. 1973. Vascoceratid ammonites from the Turonian of Hokkaido. *Trans. Proc. palaeont. Soc. Japan* N.S. **89**, 27-41, pl. 8.

NEUMAYR, M. 1875. Die Ammoniten der Kreide und die systematik der Ammonitiden. Z. dt. geol. Ges. 27, 854-892.

ORBIGNY, A. D'. 1850. Prodrome de paléontologie stratigraphique universelle, 2, 427 pp. Masson, Paris. PERON, A. 1889-1895. Description des mollusques fossiles des terrains Crétacés de la région des Hauts Plateaux de la Tunisie recueillis en 1885 et 1886 par M. Phillipe Thomas. Exploration scientifique de la Tunisie, 405 pp., 35 pls. Masson, Paris.

PERVINQUIÈRE, L. 1903a. Ammonites cephalotus Courtiller, 1860. Pal. Univers. fiche, 5.

- 1903b. Étude géologique de la Tunisie centrale. Paris.

— 1907. Études de paléontologie tunisienne. 1, Céphalopodes des terrains secondaires; système crétacique. Mém. Carte géol. Tunisie, 48 pp., 27 pls. POWELL, J. D. 1963. Turonian (Cretaceous) ammonites from north-eastern Chihuahua, Mexico. J. Paleont. 37, 1217-1232; pls. 166-171.

REYMENT, R. A. 1955. The Cretaceous ammonoidea of southern Nigeria and the southern Cameroons. Bull. geol. Surv. Nigeria, 25, 112 pp., 25 pls.

RIEDEL, L. 1932. Die Oberkreide von Mungofluss in Kamerun and ihre Fauna. Beit. Geol. Erforsch. dtsch. SchGeb. 16, 154 pp., 33 pls.

ROMAN, F. 1912. Coup d'oeil sur les zones de Céphalopodes du Turonien du Vaucluse et du Gard. In Comptes Rendu de l'Association Française pour l'avancement des Sciences, Congrès de Nîmes, 1912, Géologie et Mineralogie, 1-15, pls. 1-3.

SCHÖBEL, J. 1975. Ammoniten der Familie Vascoceratidae aus dem Unterturon des Damergou-Gebietes, Republique du Niger. Spec. Publ. palaeont. Inst. Uppsala, 3, 136 pp., 6 pls.

SHARPE, D. 1855. Description of the fossil remains of Mollusca found in the Chalk of England. 1, Cephalopoda. Palaeontogr. Soc. (Monogr.), 27-36, pls. 11-16.

SOLGER, F. 1904. Die Fossilien der Mungokreide in Kamerun und ihre geologische Bedeutung, mit besondrer Berücksichtigung der Ammoniten In ESCH, E., SOLGER, F., OPPENHEIM, M. and JÄKEL, O. Beiträge zur Geologie von Kamerun. 85-243, 3 pls. Schweizerbart'sche Verlagsbuchhandlung, Stuttgart.

SPATH, L. F. 1925. On Upper Albian Ammonites from Portuguese East Africa. With an Appendix on Upper

Cretaceous ammonites from Maputoland. Ann. Tvl. Mus. 11, 179-200, pls. 28-37.
STOLICZKA, F. 1863-1866. The fossil cephalopoda of the Cretaceous rocks of southern India. Mem. geol. Surv. India Palaeont. indica, (3) 1, 41–56, pls. 26–31 (1863); 2–5, 57–106, pls. 32–54 (1864); 6–9, 107–154, pls. 55–80 (1865); 10–13, 155–216, pls. 81–94 (1866).

TAUBENHAUS, H. 1920. Die Ammoneen der Kreideformation Palästinas und Syriens. Z. dt. Palastver. 43, 58 pp., 9 pls.

WEDEKIND, R. 1916. Über Lobus, Suturallobus und Inzision. Centbl. Miner. Geol. Pälaont. 1916, 185-195. WIEDMANN, J. 1959. Le Crétacé Supérieur de l'Espagne et du Portugal et ses céphalopodes. C.R. Congrès des Sociétés Savantes-Dijon 1959: Colloque sur le Crétacé supérieur français, 709-764, 8 pls.

- 1964. Le Crétacé Supérieur de l'Espagne et du Portugal et ses céphalopodes. Estudios geol. Inst. Invest. geol. Lucas Mallada 1964, 107-148, 39 figs.

> W. J. KENNEDY C. W. WRIGHT Geological Collections University Museum Oxford OX1 3PW U.K. and Wolfson College Oxford

Typescript received 9 July 1978 Revised typescript received 6 November 1978